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## Review: Portfolio expected return

- With $n$ risky assets,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \mu_{i}+\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right) r_{f}
$$

- where $r_{f}=$ money market rate if $\sum w_{i}<1$ and
- $r_{f}=$ margin loan rate if $\sum w_{i}>1$ and
- we are ignoring interest drag and short borrowing fee if any of the $w_{i}$ are negative.


## Review: Reg T

- Initial margin requirement: when positions are put on,

$$
\sum\left|w_{i}\right| \leq 2
$$

- Afterwards, brokers impose maintenance margin requirements.
- Example: invest 1,000, borrow 1,000, buy 20 shares of $\$ 100$ stock
- $\sum w_{i}=2$
- Stock price falls to 75.
- Now have 1,500 of stock.
- Portfolio value is $1,500-1,000=500$. Weight on stock is $1,500 / 500=3$.
- Maybe get margin call.


## Review: Portfolio variance

- Two assets:

$$
w_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+2 w_{1} w_{2} \rho \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}
$$

- Three assets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+w_{3}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} \\
+2 w_{1} w_{2} \rho_{12} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}+2 w_{1} w_{3} \rho_{13} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}+2 w_{2} w_{3} \rho_{23} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Any number of assets:

$$
w^{\prime} \Sigma w
$$

## Matrix multiplication

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}=\binom{a x+b y}{c x+d y} \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g & h
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g a+h c & g b+h d
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1} & w_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1}^{2} & \rho_{12} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \\
\rho_{12} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1} & w_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\sigma_{1}^{2} w_{1}+\rho \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} w_{2}}{\rho \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} w_{1}+\sigma_{2} w_{2}} \\
=\sigma_{1}^{2} w_{1}^{2}+\rho \sigma_{2} \sigma_{2} w_{1} w_{2}+\rho \sigma_{2} \sigma_{2} w_{1} w_{2}+\sigma_{2} w_{2}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

# 2. Mean-Variance Frontier and GMV Portfolio 

## Mean-Variance Frontier

- Mean-variance frontier is the set of portfolios that have the least risk among all portfolios that have their expected return
- Minimum risk problem: minimize variance subject to constraints:
- achieve a target expected return
- $\sum w_{i}=1$
- possibly $w_{i} \geq 0$ or Reg T
- We can vary the target expected return and trace out the mean-variance frontier
- Some points on the frontier may be inefficient (meaning you can do better on both risk and expected return) because the target expected return is too low.


## Global minimum variance portfolio

- Solve the minimization problem without a target expected return
- This portfolio (GMV portfolio) has the least risk among all portfolios
- Frontier portfolios are efficient (meaning you can't do better on both risk and expected return) if and only if the target expected return $\geq$ expected return of GMV portfolio.

3. Quadratic programming

- Finding efficient portfolios and finding the GMV portfolio are examples of quadratic programming
- Minimize or maximize a quadratic function (squares and products and linear terms)
- Subject to linear inequality constraints
- And subject to linear equality constraints

Quadratic Programming Example
minimize

$$
x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{1}-x_{2}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} \geq 0 \\
& x_{2} \geq 0 \\
& x_{1}+x_{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$



Notation of cvxopt
minimize

$$
\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} P x+q^{\prime} x
$$

subject to

$$
G x \leq h
$$

and

$$
A x=b
$$

Our example

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right) & \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} P x=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \\
q=\binom{-2}{-1} & \Rightarrow \quad q^{\prime} x=-2 x_{1}-x_{2} \\
G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) & \Rightarrow G x=\binom{-x_{1}}{-x_{2}} \\
h & =\binom{0}{0} \\
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) & \Rightarrow \quad A x=x_{1}+x_{2} \\
b & =(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define arrays

```
In [3]: P = np.array(
    [
        [2., 0.],
        [0., 2.]
    ]
)
q = np.array([-2., -1.]).reshape(2, 1)
G = np.array(
    [
        [-1., 0.],
        [0., -1.]
    ]
)
h = np.array([0., 0.]).reshape(2, 1)
A = np.array([1., 1.]).reshape(1, 2)
b = np.array([1.]).reshape(1, 1)
```

Solve

```
In [4]: from cvxopt import matrix
    from cvxopt.solvers import qp
    sol = qp(
        P=matrix(P),
        q=matrix(q),
        G=matrix(G),
        h=matrix(h),
        A=matrix(A),
        b=matrix(b)
)
np.array(sol["x"])
    pcost dcost gap pres dres
    0: -1.1111e+00 -2.2222e+00 1e+00 1e-16 1e+00
    1: -1.1231e+00 -1.1680e+00 4e-02 1e-16 4e-02
    2: -1.1250e+00 -1.1261e+00 1e-03 2e-16 3e-04
    3: -1.1250e+00 -1.1250e+00 1e-05 6e-17 3e-06
    4: -1.1250e+00 -1.1250e+00 1e-07 3e-16 3e-08
Optimal solution found.
```

```
Out[4]: array([[0.7499999],
```

Out[4]: array([[0.7499999],
[0.2500001]])

```
    [0.2500001]])
```


# 4. Stock, Bond, and Gold ETFs 

- SPY, IEF, and GLD adjusted closing prices from Yahoo
- Downsample to monthly
- Percent changes are monthly returns
- Compute historical means and covariance matrix

In [5]: import yfinance as yf
tickers = ["SPY", "IEF", "GLD"]
prices = yf.download(tickers, start="1970-01-01")["Adj Close"]
prices = prices.resample("M").last()
rets = prices.pct_change().dropna()
rets.head(3)
[ $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 100 \% \% * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *] 3$ of 3 completed
Out[5]:
GLD IEF SPY

## Date

| 2004-12-31 | -0.029255 | 0.011674 | 0.030121 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005-01-31 | -0.036073 | 0.008710 | -0.022421 |
| 2005-02-28 | 0.031028 | -0.013683 | 0.020904 |

# Means, risks and correlations 

In [6]: 12 * rets.mean()
Out[6]: GLD 0.087096
IEF 0.031683
SPY 0.100341
dtype: float64

| In [7]: | np.sqrt(12) * rets.std() |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Out[7]: | GLD | 0.169435 |
|  | IEF | 0.064872 |
|  | SPY | 0.150749 |
|  | dtype: | float64 |

```
In [8]: rets.corr()
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Out[8]: & & GLD & IEF & SPY \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } & GLD & 1.000000 & 0.317975 & 0.084318 \\
\hline & IEF & 0.317975 & 1.000000 & -0.121379 \\
\hline & SPY & 0.084318 & -0.121379 & 1.000000
\end{tabular}
```

In [9]: mu = rets.mean().to_numpy ()
Sigma $=$ rets.cov().to_numpy()
5. GMV Portfolio of Stocks, Bonds, and Gold

## GMV minimization problem

minimize

$$
\frac{1}{2} w^{\prime} \Sigma w
$$

subject to

$$
\sum w_{i}=1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \iota^{\prime} w=1
$$

where $\iota$ is a column vector of ones.

## cvxopt formulation

- $P=\Sigma$
- $q=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
b=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Define arrays

In [10]: $P=$ Sigma
$q=n p \cdot z e r o s((3,1))$
A = np.ones( $(1,3))$
$b=n p . \operatorname{ones}((1,1))$

Compute the GMV portfolio

```
In [11]: sol = qp(
        P=matrix(P),
        q=matrix(q),
        A=matrix(A),
        b=matrix(b)
)
import pandas as pd
gmv = pd.Series(sol["x"], index=rets.columns)
gmv
Out[11]: GLD -0.001301
IEF 0.817025
SPY 0.184276
dtype: float64
```

Risk and expected return of GMV portfolio

In [12]: w = gmv.to_numpy()

```
print(f"\nGMV annualized std dev is {np.sqrt(12*w@Sigma@w):.2%}")
print(f"GMV annualized mean is {12*mu@w: .2%}")
print(f"\nIEF annualized std dev is {np.sqrt(12)*rets.IEF.std():.2%}")
print(f"IEF annualized mean is {12*rets.IEF.mean():.2%}")
```

GMV annualized std dev is $5.67 \%$
GMV annualized mean is 4.43\%
IEF annualized std dev is 6.49\%
IEF annualized mean is 3.17\%

6. Efficient portfolios of SPY, IEF, and GLD

## Minimize risk with target expected return

minimize

$$
\frac{1}{2} w^{\prime} \Sigma w
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{\prime} w & =r \\
\iota^{\prime} w & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=$ target expected return and $\iota$ is a column vector of ones.

## cvxopt formulation

- $P=\Sigma$
- $q=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{1} & \mu_{2} & \mu_{3} \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
b=\binom{r}{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Define arrays

```
In [14]: # example target monthly expected return
r = 0.06/12
P = Sigma
q = np.zeros((3, 1))
A = np.array(
    [
            mu,
            [1., 1., 1.]
        ]
)
b = np.array([r, 1]).reshape(2, 1)
```

Compute the efficient portfolio

```
In [15]: sol = qp(
        P=matrix(P),
        q=matrix(q),
        A=matrix(A),
        b=matrix(b)
)
efficient = pd.Series(sol["x"], index=rets.columns)
efficient
Out[15]: GLD 0.115466
    IEF 0.565289
    SPY 0.319245
    dtype: float64
```



# 7. Include cash with SPY, IEF, and GLD 

## Target expected return with cash

- Expected return is

$$
\mu^{\prime} w+\left(1-\iota^{\prime} w\right) r_{f}=r_{f}+\left(\mu-r_{f} \iota^{\prime}\right) w
$$

- Equals target expected return $r$ if and only if

$$
\left(\mu-r_{f} \iota\right)^{\prime} w=r-r_{f}
$$

- So,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu_{1}-r_{f} & \mu_{2}-r_{f} \quad \mu_{3}-r_{f}
\end{array}\right) \\
b=\left(r-r_{f}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Define arrays

```
In [17]: # example monthly interest rate
rf = 0.03/12
# example target expected return
r = 0.06/12
P = Sigma
q = np.zeros((3, 1))
A = (mu - rf*np.ones(3)).reshape(1, 3)
b = np.array([r-rf]).reshape(1, 1)
```

Compute the efficient portfolio

```
In [18]: sol = qp(
        P=matrix(P),
        q=matrix(q),
        A=matrix(A),
        b=matrix(b)
)
efficient_with_cash = pd.Series(sol["x"], index=rets.columns)
efficient_with_cash
Out[18]: GLD 0.176189
IEF -0.027139
SPY 0.284129
dtype: float64
```



# 8. Sharpe Ratios and the Tangency Portfolio 

## Sharpe ratio

- The Sharpe ratio is defined as


## Expected Return - Risk-Free Rate <br> Standard Deviation

- To annualize a monthly Sharpe ratio,
- numerator should be multiplied by 12,
- denominator should be multiplied by $\sqrt{12}$
- so ratio should be multiplied by $\sqrt{12}$

Sharpe ratios of SPY, IEF, and GLD

In [20]: sharpes = np.sqrt(12)*(rets.mean() - rf) / rets.std()

```
sharpe_efficient = np.sqrt(12)*(r - rf) / np.sqrt(w@Sigma@w)
print(f"SPY = {sharpes.SPY:.2%}")
print(f"IEF = {sharpes.IEF:.2%}")
print(f"GLD = {sharpes.GLD:.2%}")
print(f"Efficient portfolio with cash = {sharpe_efficient:.2%}")
```

SPY $=46.66 \%$
IEF = 2.59\%
GLD $=33.70 \%$
Efficient portfolio with cash $=55.43 \%$

## Geometry of Sharpe ratios

- Sharpe ratio is slope of line connecting (std dev=0, mean=rf) with the (std dev, mean) of the asset or portfolio
- Efficient portfolios with cash all have the same Sharpe ratio, so they all lie on the same line
- This is the maximum possible Sharpe ratio - the line is the furthest northwest in the (std dev, mean) diagram.


## Tangency portfolio

- Tangency portfolio is an efficient portfolio with cash that does not use cash
- It is efficient with or without cash
- It is the point at which the line with maximum Sharpe ratio just touches the frontier without cash
- We should hold the tangency portfolio with or without cash
- Will look at margin loans later

Tangency portfolio of SPY, IEF, and GLD

```
In [21]: tang = w / np.sum(w)
pd.Series(tang, index=rets.columns).round(3)
Out[21]: GLD 0.407
IEF -0.063
SPY 0.656
dtype: float64
```

